

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/485,750	02/14/2000	FRANK PUTTKAMMER	990351	2165
7	590 05/31/2006		EXAM	INER
LAW OFFICES OF KARL HORMANN			WALSH, DANIEL I	
86 SPARKS ST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CAMBRIDGE	, MA 02138-2216	•	2876	TAI ER NOMBER

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				11		
	Application No). <i>F</i>	Applicant(s)			
	09/485,750	F	PUTTKAMMER, FRANK			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	1	Art Unit			
	Daniel I. Walsh		2876			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cov	er sheet with the cor	respondence add	ress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS C 136(a). In no event, ho will apply and will expirate, cause the application	OMMUNICATION. wever, may a reply be timely e SIX (6) MONTHS from the to become ABANDONED	y filed e mailing date of this com (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 F	-ebruary 2006 .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle	1935 C.D. 11, 453	O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from conside	eration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				·		
8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or	election require	ment				
	oloculon roquiro.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		piected to by the Ev	ominor			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	•	` '	R 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	· ·	• • • •		• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•		in this National S	tage		
application from the International Burea	•	• • •				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified of	copies not received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [Interview Summary (P				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	₀ 5) Γ	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Pate		152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Other:		-,		

Application/Control Number: 09/485,750 Page 2

Art Unit: 2876 D. Walsh

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the Amendment received on 2 February 2006.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-7, drawn to a structure of optically effective diffractive elements, classified in class 235 subclass 492.
 - II. Claims 8-17, drawn to a scanner apparatus classified in class 235, subclass 435.
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it can be read/processed by a different means. The subcombination has separate utility such as scanner for differently structured scanning documents.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/485,750 Page 3

Art Unit: 2876 D. Walsh

5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/485,750

Art Unit: 2876 D. Walsh

Page 4

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel I. Walsh whose telephone number is (571) 272-2409. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel I Walsh Examiner Art Unit 2876

5-17-06